

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/282,229	FORIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andy Ho	2194	

All Participants:

(1) Andy Ho.

(2) Alan Collins, Reg. No. 57,646.

Date of Interview: 27 November 2006

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner suggested the applicant to correct the reference to claim 41 on page 12 of the specification. The applicant agreed with the suggestion and authorized the examiner to make the changes in an examiner's amendment

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


MICHAEL T. ALVORD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 COMMUNICATIONS SECTION 2100

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)